



AUGUST 2015 IMMIGRATION UPDATE

Posted on August 3, 2015 by Cyrus Mehta

Headlines:

- 1. USCIS Issues Final Guidance on When To File Amended or New H-1B Petitions After *Matter of Simeio Solutions*** - In general, H-1B petitioners must file an amended or new petition before placing an H-1B employee at a new place of employment not covered by an existing, approved H-1B petition.
- 2. Obama Administration Moves Forward With Executive Actions** - On July 15, 2015, the White House announced progress and next steps in an effort begun in November 2014 to address problems in the U.S. immigration system through a series of executive actions.
- 3. USCIS Demands Return of Erroneously Issued DACA EADs** - USCIS sent letters demanding the return of new, erroneously issued EADs with more than two years of validity sent after February 16, 2015, to certain DACA recipients.
- 4. USCIS Seeks Comments on Proposed Expansion of Eligibility for Provisional Unlawful Presence Waivers** - The proposed rule would expand eligibility to all foreign nationals who are statutorily eligible for an immigrant visa and for a waiver of inadmissibility based on unlawful presence.
- 5. USCIS Ombudsman Annual Report Notes Continuing RFE Issues** - The Ombudsman continues to be concerned with the quality and consistency of adjudications and the issuance of unduly burdensome requests for evidence, among other things.
- 6. China Visa Availability Retrogresses in Some Categories in August, Other Categories Advance** - An "extremely large increase" in applicant demand has resulted in retrogressions in the China-mainland born EB-3 and "Other Workers" categories, to 2004.
- 7. USCIS Resumes Premium Processing for Extension-of-Stay H-1B**

Petitions - As of July 13, 2015, USCIS resumed accepting requests for premium processing service for all H-1B extension-of-stay petitions (Form I-129, Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker).

8. USCIS Media Campaign Highlights Citizenship Info, Tools - The media campaign includes print and digital advertisements, and video and radio public service announcements, in several languages.

9. U.S. Embassy in Mexico Announces Changes in Nonimmigrant E Visa Application Processing - The embassy is shifting processing of E-1 treaty trader and E-2 treaty investor visas away from Mexico City.

10. ABIL Global: Canada - Canada implements Express Entry.

Details:

1. USCIS Issues Final Guidance on When To File Amended or New H-1B Petitions After *Matter of Simeio Solutions*

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) issued final guidance on July 21, 2015, on when to file an amended or new H-1B petition after the precedent decision in *Matter of Simeio Solutions, LLC (Simeio)*.

USCIS said that *Simeio*, issued on April 9, 2015, represents the USCIS position that H-1B petitioners must file an amended or new petition before placing an H-1B employee at a new place of employment not covered by an existing, approved H-1B petition. Specifically, an H-1B employer must file a new H-1B petition when a new Labor Condition Application for Nonimmigrant Workers (LCA) is required due to a change in the H-1B worker's place of employment.

On May 21, 2015, USCIS issued draft guidance and solicited public comment on the implementation of *Simeio*. After considering the feedback submitted, USCIS issued the new guidance, which is effective as of July 21. USCIS noted that although the final guidance responds to many of the comments received, some suggestions and inquiries were outside the scope of *Simeio*. USCIS said it will consider addressing those remaining questions, as necessary, in the near future.

In general, USCIS said, a petitioner must file an amended or new H-1B petition if the H-1B employee is changing his or her place of employment to a geographical area requiring a corresponding LCA to be certified to USCIS, even if a new LCA is already certified by the U.S. Department of Labor and posted at the new work location. Once a petitioner properly files the amended or new H-1B petition, the

H-1B employee can immediately begin to work at the new place of employment, provided the requirements of section 214(n) of the INA are otherwise satisfied. The petitioner does not have to wait for a final decision on the amended or new petition for the H-1B employee to start work at the new place of employment.

The memo also notes when a petitioner does not need to file an amended or new H-1B petition. If a petitioner's H-1B employee is moving to a new job location within the same area of intended employment, for example, a new LCA is not generally required. Therefore, provided there are no changes in the terms and conditions of employment that may affect eligibility for H-1B classification, the petitioner does not need to file an amended or new H-1B petition. The petitioner must still post the original LCA in the new work location within the same area of intended employment.

Similarly, with respect to short-term placements under certain circumstances, a petitioner may place an H-1B employee at a new worksite for up to 30 days, and in some cases 60 days (where the employee is still based at the "home" worksite) without obtaining a new LCA or having to file an amended or new H-1B petition.

Also, if an H-1B employee is only going to a non-worksite location and there are no material changes in the authorized employment, the petitioner does not need to file an amended or new H-1B petition. A location is considered "non-worksite" if: (1) the H-1B employee is going to a location to participate in employee developmental activity, such as a management conference or staff seminar; (2) the H-1B employee spends little time at any one location; or (3) the job is "peripatetic in nature," such as in a situation where the employee's job is primarily at one location but he or she occasionally travels for short periods to other locations "on a casual, short-term basis, which can be recurring but not excessive (i.e., not exceeding 5 consecutive workdays for any one visit by a worker who spends most work time at one location and travels occasionally to other locations."

USCIS said that it will exercise discretion in several ways, specified in the memorandum, to accommodate petitioners who need to come into compliance with *Simeio*. For example, the memo noted that if an employer transferred an H-1B employee to a new location on or before April 9, the date of the *Simeio* decision, the agency generally will not pursue new adverse actions, even if the employer does not file an amended petition. The memo also specifies certain circumstances in which USCIS will pursue new adverse actions against employers

or preserve adverse actions already begun.

The final guidance is at http://www.uscis.gov/sites/default/files/USCIS/Laws/Memoranda/2015/2015-0721_Simeio_Solutions_Transition_Guidance_Memo_Format_7_21_15.pdf. *Simeio* is at <http://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/eoir/pages/attachments/2015/04/16/3832.pdf>. USCIS's announcement is at <http://www.uscis.gov/news/final-guidance-when-file-amended-or-new-h-1b-petition-after-matter-simeio-solutions-llc>.

[Back to Top](#)

2. Obama Administration Moves Forward With Executive Actions

On July 15, 2015, the White House announced progress and next steps in an effort begun in November 2014 to address problems in the U.S. immigration system through a series of executive actions. The next steps in this effort are summarized in a new report, "Modernizing and Streamlining Our Legal Immigration System for the 21st Century." The report includes a wide range of new actions that federal agencies are undertaking to improve the visa experience for families, workers, employers, and people in need of humanitarian relief.

Among other things, President Obama directed key federal agencies responsible for administering the legal immigration system to explore ways to modernize and streamline the system while helping the U.S. economy and improving services for applicants. Some of the recommendations summarized in the new report include:

- Improving the issuance of employment-based immigrant visa numbers;
- increasing efficiency for international arrivals through enhanced technology and increasing the focus on high-risk travelers;
- Implementing the "Known Employer Program," which will allow employers meeting strict criteria to pre-establish certain requirements as petitioners, by creating a prototype, publishing a report upon completion of the pilot, and creating an implementation plan for a permanent program;
- Improving integrity and increasing the minimum investment for immigrant investor visas; and
- Enhancing opportunities and providing greater clarity for certain nonimmigrants, including the circumstances under which U.S. employers may directly sponsor students on F-1 visas for lawful permanent residence.

The report notes progress since the November announcement on several of the Obama administration's executive actions. For example, regarding a directive to clarify options for intracompany transfers to the United States, USCIS recently published a "consolidated and authoritative policy memorandum" on the L-1B intracompany transferee classification for workers with specialized knowledge. The report says that USCIS plans to issue a final memorandum effective August 31, 2015.

Also, USCIS published a final regulation, effective May 26, 2015, extending eligibility for work authorization to certain H-4 spouses of H-1B workers who are on the path to lawful permanent resident status. USCIS also published a notice of proposed rulemaking on July 15, 2015, that would expand an existing process to provide provisional waivers to certain family members of U.S. citizens and lawful permanent residents seeking to obtain lawful permanent residence, thereby reducing family separation. The final rule will be published in spring 2016. The report notes that the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) is working to clarify the definition of extreme hardship, which must be proven by applicants seeking provisional waivers, and plans to release guidance on this issue in the near future.

Also, the report says that the Obama administration "continues to move forward" with expanding opportunities for foreign investors, researchers, and entrepreneurs. Toward that end, DHS plans to propose, consistent with its existing parole authority, a parole program for entrepreneurs who would provide a "significant public benefit"; for example, because they have been awarded substantial U.S. investor financing or otherwise hold the promise of innovation and job creation through the development of new technologies. DHS also will clarify guidance on the standard by which a national interest waiver can be granted, with the aim of promoting its greater use for the benefit of the U.S. economy.

The report also notes that DHS is evaluating the Optional Practical Training program for foreign students and graduates of U.S. universities, to determine how to enhance the program "in a manner that strengthens the program and improves training for students who will enhance American innovation and competitiveness, while protecting U.S. workers."

The report includes a number of goals on the technology front. For example, the

Obama administration wants to provide applicants with a single "dashboard" that allows them to view their case status in the overall process. Currently, the report explains, applicants must check with DHS and the Department of State individually to view their current status. Ideally, the report says, this information would be aggregated, requiring that only one dashboard be checked for an overview of one's application, and all related components.

On the consular front, the report notes that officers do not have a consistent way of receiving feedback about the visa process, both overseas and in the United States, from key participants, such as applicants, petitioners, lawyers, and community groups. The report says this limits an exchange of information that might help clarify rules, reduce misinformation, and produce valuable insights about consular post processes. The Obama administration is directing the Department of State to share visa process information with key sectors of the public via messages and media with the goal of providing information and engaging in a two-way dialogue so their feedback and input are incorporated into the process.

A related goal is to increase public outreach and engagement efforts by consular posts. The report says that staff will engage applicants through a wide variety of avenues, including existing post websites and digital media, local organizations and websites, and other channels tailored to local conditions, to engage visa applicants and ensure diverse feedback.

The report is at https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/docs/final_visa_modernization_report1.pdf. A White House fact sheet is at https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/docs/fact_sheet_visa_modernization.pdf. A White House blog is at <https://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2015/07/15/bringing-our-immigration-system-digital-age>.

[Back to Top](#)

3. USCIS Demands Return of Erroneously Issued DACA EADs

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) sent letters on July 14, 2015, demanding the return of erroneously issued employment authorization documents (EADs) with more than two years of validity issued after February 16, 2015, to certain Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) recipients. This was after a court order was in place prohibiting the agency from conferring DACA

for more than two years. After the court order in *Texas v. United States*, USCIS can approve deferred action requests and related employment authorization applications based on DACA only for two-year periods.

USCIS said this action does *not* apply to the approximately 108,000 three-year EADs that were approved and mailed by USCIS **on or before** the February 16, 2015, injunction date and that have never been returned or reissued by USCIS.

The agency also subsequently issued an urgent notice on July 27, 2015, stating that "the three-year work permit recall only applies to SOME individuals who received a card after the February 16, 2015, court order." USCIS said that "you have not been contacted by USCIS and you received a three-year card after February 16, 2015, you should use the new online tool or call the USCIS Customer Service line at 800-375-5283 and select option 1 for English, then option 8 to verify whether you are affected BEFORE returning your card."

USCIS said that it issued the erroneous EADs (including both EADs with three years and EADs with more than two years but less than three) to approximately 2,100 DACA recipients. Separately, the U.S. Postal Service returned to USCIS as undeliverable about 500 three-year EADs that the agency approved and issued *before* the February 16, 2015, injunction. USCIS subsequently re-mailed these cards to updated addresses *after* the injunction. USCIS said it has taken action to correct this issue for these individuals and has updated its records to reflect a two-year period of deferred action and employment authorization for them.

The letter sent to affected DACA recipients explains that the erroneous EADs they received are not valid and must be returned to USCIS. USCIS issued new two-year approval notices and new EADs reflecting a two-year validity period for those people. The letter states that if the recipient of such a letter does not return the invalid EAD, even if he or she has not yet received the new two-year EAD, USCIS will "terminate your deferred action and all associated employment authorizations." Failure to return the invalid EAD, and subsequent termination of the recipient's DACA and employment authorization, "may be considered a negative factor in weighing whether to grant any future requests for deferred action or any other discretionary requests."

The letter states that affected recipients must return their invalid EADs by either appearing at a USCIS field office location by July 27, 2015, or by mailing USCIS the invalid three-year EAD by July 27, 2015. On a stakeholder call on July 14, 2015, USCIS said it is making home visits to collect the invalid EADs. Among

other things, USCIS said that if a DACA recipient returns his or her invalid EAD but receives a letter from USCIS requiring a field office visit, he or she must go to the field office to confirm the return of the invalid EAD.

A related USCIS fact sheet is at

<http://www.uscis.gov/humanitarian/consideration-deferred-action-childhood-arivals-process/important-information-some-daca-recipients-who-received-three-year-work-authorization-fact-sheet>. A USCIS Web page with details on this issue is at

<http://www.uscis.gov/humanitarian/daca-recipients-who-received-3-year-work-authorization-post-injunction-quick-facts>. The USCIS letter sent July 14 to affected DACA recipients is at <http://www.aila.org/File/Related/15070802g.pdf>.

USCIS' July 27 announcement is at

<http://www.uscis.gov/news/urgent-some-daca-recipients-who-received-three-year-work-permits-must-return-them-immediately>.

[Back to Top](#)

4. USCIS Seeks Comments on Proposed Expansion of Eligibility for Provisional Unlawful Presence Waivers

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) is seeking public comments on a proposed rule that would expand eligibility for provisional waivers of inadmissibility based on the accrual of unlawful presence. The proposed rule would expand eligibility to all foreign nationals who are statutorily eligible for an immigrant visa and for a waiver of inadmissibility based on unlawful presence.

Currently, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) allows certain immediate relatives—specifically certain parents, spouses, and children of U.S. citizens—who are in the United States to request a provisional unlawful presence waiver before departing for consular processing of their immigrant visas. The waiver currently is only available to those immediate relatives whose sole ground of inadmissibility would be unlawful presence under INA § 212(a)(9)(B)(i) and who can demonstrate that the denial of the waiver would result in extreme hardship to their U.S. citizen spouses or parents.

Under the proposed rule, USCIS may grant a provisional waiver to foreign nationals if they are statutorily eligible for immigrant visas and for waivers of inadmissibility based on unlawful presence. The proposed rule also would

expand who may be considered a qualifying relative for purposes of the extreme hardship determination to include lawful permanent resident spouses and parents.

The changes, which USCIS said it is proposing "in the interests of family unity and to enhance customer service," would take effect on the date indicated in the final rule when the final rule is published in the Federal Register. USCIS said that foreign nationals should not submit applications now requesting provisional unlawful presence waivers based on the proposed changes. USCIS may deny any such application filed before the effective date indicated in the final rule.

Comments are due by September 21, 2015. To submit comments, follow the instructions in the notice.

The USCIS announcement is at

<http://www.uscis.gov/news/alerts/uscis-seeks-comments-proposed-expansion-eligibility-provisional-unlawful-presence-waivers-0>. The related proposed rule is at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2015-07-22/html/2015-17794.htm>. USCIS's Provisional Unlawful Presence Waivers page with additional information is at <http://www.uscis.gov/family/family-us-citizens/provisional-waiver/provisional-unlawful-presence-waivers>.

[Back to Top](#)

5. USCIS Ombudsman Annual Report Notes Continuing RFE Issues

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services' (USCIS) Ombudsman's Office has published the 2015 Annual Report. Highlights include:

RFE issues. The Ombudsman's Office reviews issues involving temporary nonimmigrant petitions (H-2A, H-2B, H-1B, L-1, and O-1), investor immigrant petitions (EB-5), other immigrant petitions, and employment authorization applications. The Annual Report states that the Ombudsman continues to be concerned with the quality and consistency of adjudications and the issuance of unduly burdensome requests for evidence (RFEs). Last year's report discussed in detail RFEs that were "too often vague, unduly burdensome, or unnecessary," this year's report notes. The Ombudsman said that such RFEs "continue to delay adjudications and burden applicants and petitioners, particularly in the provisional waiver program and key employment-based categories." Providing adequate notice regarding filing deficiencies "is essential

to the effectiveness of RFEs, but they are often general and fail to address evidence already in the record," the Ombudsman said, adding that this is especially important in cases in which applicants and petitioners are not afforded the option of an appeal or a motion to reopen or reconsider.

The report notes one example of an employer agent who submitted a request for case assistance with the Ombudsman. The agent had filed an H-2A petition on behalf of the employer on October 31, 2014, with a November 15, 2014, start date requested. USCIS issued an RFE on November 19, 2014. USCIS did not use the next-day-return courier envelope provided and instead sent the request by regular USPS mail. The agent did not receive the notice until December 1, 2014. Furthermore, the agent was confused by the duplicative nature of the RFE because the documents requested were submitted with the initial petition. The employer immediately submitted a duplicate copy of the documents to USCIS using overnight mail. The Ombudsman contacted USCIS, and assisted in having the agency review and adjudicate the H-2A petition within a day of the communication. The report states that a shift to electronic processing, whether via online submission or email, would result in faster processing.

Stakeholders continue to raise concerns about USCIS adjudication of nonimmigrant petitions for high-skilled beneficiaries, the report notes, including H-1B (specialty occupations), L-1A (intracompany transferee managers or executives), L-1B (specialized knowledge workers), and O-1 (extraordinary ability or achievement). Specifically, employers and their representatives have sent examples to the Ombudsman of RFEs that appear to be redundant, seeking documentation that was previously provided; unnecessary, requesting information that is irrelevant or exceeds what is needed to complete the adjudication; and unduly burdensome in scope or intrusiveness.

Petitioners have also provided the Ombudsman with examples of RFEs and denials in "new" office L-1A extension cases. In reviewing these extension filings, the report notes that "it is appropriate that adjudicators examine whether the petitioner is actually 'doing business,' to ascertain the specific job duties that will be performed by the beneficiary under the extended petition," and to consider the "staffing of the new operation, including the number of employees and types of positions held." Yet, in some instances, the report notes, "it appears that adjudicators are placing undue emphasis on whether

the beneficiary is too closely connected to the actual production work or services offered by the petitioning entity." The report states that "L-1A managers and executives are in fact permitted to engage in some hands-on activities, provided these activities are secondary to their principal and essential duties."

In response to the concerns about RFEs, the Ombudsman said that trainings for adjudicators could be made more useful. "A training program on the preponderance of the evidence standard using detailed real-world case examples for each product line would better assist USCIS adjudicators whether cases are approvable or deniable upon first review, resulting in the issuance of fewer, and more narrowly tailored RFEs," the report notes. The Ombudsman also continues to urge the agency to pilot an initiative requiring 100 percent supervisory review before an RFE is issued.

EB-5 program and employment-based processing. The report notes that although USCIS has hired new adjudicators and economists, it had 12,749 investor petitions (Form I-526, Immigrant Petition by Alien Entrepreneur) in its pending inventory as of March 31, 2015, with nearly 20 percent pending adjudication for more than a year, and that EB-5 processing times have been getting longer. The report notes that USCIS has provided technical assistance to Congress and is working with other DHS and government agencies to put safeguards in place to ensure program integrity.

Regarding employment-based immigrant petition processing, the report notes that in recent months USCIS has taken steps to review its longstanding policy on who is an "affected party" for purposes of appealing a decision on a Form I-140, Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker. The Ombudsman encourages USCIS to consider the significant case law and recognize legal standing for certain beneficiaries of a Form I-140 petition.

Matter of Simeio. The report notes that on April 9, 2015, USCIS's Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) issued a rare precedent decision addressing when a reassignment of an H-1B worker requires the petitioning employer to file an amended H-1B petition that is supported by a DOL certified Labor Condition Application (Form ETA-9035). As a precedent decision—one of only four issued in the last three years—the holding in *Simeio* is binding on all USCIS H-1B petitioning employers nationwide, the report notes.

Since the *Simeio* decision was issued without accompanying guidance, the

Ombudsman hosted a national teleconference on April 30, 2015, to seek stakeholder feedback and identify outstanding issues. Over 650 external stakeholders and government officials participated in the call. Of utmost importance to the affected stakeholder community, the report notes, was how the decision would be applied to H-1B employees who were previously reassigned with no amended filing based on prior practice. On May 21, 2015, USCIS addressed some of these questions through its issuance of draft guidance, which established a 90-day time frame for employers to submit amended filings.

The report notes that the *Simeio* case had been pending before AAO for nearly four years, and that this new agency interpretation was made without first providing the affected stakeholder community an opportunity to provide its input. "Some large employers have informed the Ombudsman that the decision could cost them millions in additional legal fees and filing costs," the report states.

DACA. USCIS began accepting Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) renewal applications in June 2014. Approximately 15 percent of requests for case assistance submitted to the Ombudsman involved DACA renewal processing delays. The report notes that this year, Department of Homeland Security (DHS) Secretary Jeh Johnson directed USCIS to expand the provisional waiver program and to clarify "extreme hardship" factors.

Juveniles. In this reporting period, USCIS developed and implemented the In-Country Refugee/Parole Program for Central American Minors in El Salvador, Guatemala, and Honduras. The Ombudsman continues to be concerned with adjudications issues and processing delays in special immigrant juvenile petitions, fee waiver requests, and asylum applications. Among other things, the Ombudsman received numerous examples of special immigrant juvenile petitions in which USCIS issued RFEs requesting a wide range of records pertaining to the underlying state court dependency order, "essentially second-guessing the state court action." The report notes that in the near future, the Ombudsman will publish formal recommendations to improve processing of petitions for special immigrant juveniles.

Other issues. The Annual Report also discusses delivery of USCIS notices and documents; recording or withdrawal of legal representation; USCIS's calculation of processing times; and the agency's ongoing effort to move from a paper-

based to an electronic environment.

The report is available at <http://www.dhs.gov/annual-report-congress>.

[Back to Top](#)

6. China Visa Availability Retrogresses in Some Categories in August, Other Categories Advance

The Department of State's Visa Bulletin for August 2015 reported an "extremely large increase" in applicant demand that has resulted in retrogressions in the China-mainland born employment-based third preference (EB-3) and "Other Workers" categories, to June 1, 2004, and January 1, 2004, respectively. The Visa Office said that "very effort will be made to return those categories to the ... cut-off dates as quickly as possible under the FY-2016 annual limits. Those limits will take effect October 1, 2015."

Otherwise, most employment-based priority dates advanced. The EB-3 and "Other Workers" categories for the Philippines have once again become available, with a cut-off date in both categories of June 1, 2004.

The Visa Bulletin for August 2015 is available at

http://travel.state.gov/content/dam/visas/Bulletins/visabulletin_August2015.pdf

.

[Back to Top](#)

7. USCIS Resumes Premium Processing for Extension-of-Stay H-1B Petitions

As of July 13, 2015, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) has resumed accepting Form I-907, Request for Premium Processing Service, for all H-1B extension-of-stay petitions (Form I-129, Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker).

Premium processing service had been suspended previously for I-129 H-1B extension-of-stay petitions starting May 26, 2015, to July 27, 2015. USCIS said the temporary suspension allowed the agency to implement the final rule on employment authorization for certain H-4 dependent spouses in a timely manner and begin adjudicating applications for employment authorization filed by H-4 nonimmigrants under the new rule. Premium processing remained available for all other types of I-129 H-1B petitions during the temporary

suspension.

USCIS said it closely monitored its workloads and determined that the agency could resume premium processing service for H-1B extension-of-stay petitions as of July 13, 2015. In response to a query, USCIS's Service Center Operations Directorate responded, "We now will accept an I-907 on any H-1B . If an I-907 was filed/received prior to 07/13/15 it will be rejected."

As a reminder, USCIS also noted that it will accept only the new version (edition date: 01/29/2015) of the I-907. The edition date is printed on the bottom left corner of every page of the form and instructions.

The new USCIS announcement is available at

<http://www.uscis.gov/news/uscis-resumes-premium-processing-extension-stay-h-1b-petitions>. The new version of the I-907 is available at

<http://www.uscis.gov/i-907>. The final rule discussed above is available at

https://www.federalregister.gov/articles/2015/02/25/2015-04042/employment-authorization-for-certain-h-4-dependent-spouses?utm_campaign=pi+subscription+mailing+list&utm_medium=email&utm_source=federalregister.gov. The previous announcement about the initial

"freeze" on premium processing for these petitions is available at

<http://www.uscis.gov/news/alerts/uscis-temporarily-suspends-premium-processing-extension-stay-h-1b-petitions>.

[Back to Top](#)

8. USCIS Media Campaign Highlights Citizenship Info, Tools

U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) released a series of promotional materials on July 6, 2015, as part of its Citizenship Public Education and Awareness Initiative.

The effort is intended to raise awareness about the rights, responsibilities and importance of U.S. citizenship and provide information on the naturalization process and USCIS educational resources. The promotional campaign guides lawful permanent residents to the USCIS Citizenship Resource Center for "official, accurate and reliable information on citizenship and naturalization topics."

The media campaign includes print and digital advertisements in English, Spanish, Chinese, and Vietnamese; radio public service announcements in

Spanish and Chinese; and video public service announcements in English and Spanish. Online digital advertisements will run until August 15, 2015. A second phase will begin in September, and will include additional print and digital media spots.

USCIS said this campaign "is part of a larger effort to demystify the process and provide lawful permanent residents with information to protect themselves against the unauthorized practice of immigration law."

USCIS noted that an estimated 8.8 million lawful permanent residents are eligible to apply for citizenship, and the median time spent as a lawful permanent resident before becoming a U.S. citizen is seven years.

Immigrant-serving organizations and members of the media interested in donating media space should email norine.w.han@uscis.dhs.gov. The Citizenship Resource Center is available at <http://www.uscis.gov/citizenship>. To view the video public service announcements, see the Video PSAs Web page at <http://www.uscis.gov/citizenship/see-all-section-items-title-right/Video%20PSAs/86344?destination=node/41137>. More information on the Citizenship Public Education and Awareness Initiative is available at <http://www.uscis.gov/citizenship/organizations/citizenship-public-education-and-awareness-initiative>.

[Back to Top](#)

9. U.S. Embassy in Mexico Announces Changes in Nonimmigrant E Visa Application Processing

The U.S. Embassy in Mexico recently announced changes in nonimmigrant E visa application processing. The embassy notes that E visa treaty trader and treaty investor applicants are an integral part of the U.S. economy. Recent increases in overall visa applications "have made it challenging to offer efficient processing of these cases in Mexico City," the embassy states. To provide a higher level of service for all applicants, the embassy is shifting processing of E-1 treaty trader and E-2 treaty investor visas away from Mexico City.

Effective for all applications received in Applicant Service Centers in Mexico on or after July 7, 2015:

- E-1 treaty trader visa processing will be handled by the Consulates General in Monterrey and Tijuana

- E-2 treaty investor visa processing will be handled by the Consulate General in Ciudad Juarez
- The U.S. Embassy in Mexico City will not process nonimmigrant E visa applications received in Applicant Service Centers on or after July 7, 2015

The announcement is available at <https://ais.usvisa-info.com/en-mx/niv>.

For more information regarding the transition of E-1 treaty trader visas to Monterrey and Tijuana, contact the consulates in Monterrey and Tijuana through the appropriate "E1" forms at <http://mexico.usembassy.gov/visas/contact/contact-us/form-b.html>.

For more information regarding the transition of E-2 treaty investor visas to Ciudad Juarez, contact the consulate in Ciudad Juarez by selecting the "Other" form under "Ciudad Juarez" at <http://mexico.usembassy.gov/visas/contact/contact-us/form-b.html>.

For a full list of consulates and their contact information, see <http://mexico.usembassy.gov/eng/edirectory.html>.

[Back to Top](#)

10. **ABIL Global: Canada**

Canada implements Express Entry.

Since January 2015, when Citizenship and Immigration Canada (CIC) introduced Express Entry, a new permanent residence immigration process, the process has solidified and immigration practitioners are learning to navigate the online Express Entry system.

Express Entry is a points-based system that ranks candidates according to various human capital and personal criteria and allows CIC to issue a limited number of Invitations to Apply (ITAs) for permanent residence. Since January, CIC has issued approximately 13,000 ITAs to candidates to permit them to subsequently submit permanent residence applications. Following are highlights of the new system:

Express Entry draws. CIC "draws" of the highest-ranked candidates have been occurring every few weeks. For each draw, CIC establishes the minimum Comprehensive Ranking System (CRS) point score required to receive an ITA, which allows the agency to manage the intake of permanent residence

applications. The minimum CRS score was initially very high—over 800 CRS points for the first three draws (886, 818, and 808 CRS points) early in the year, which required those who were drawn to have had a Labour Market Impact Assessment (LMIA) or Provincial Nomination Certificate in their favor, which grants a bonus 600 CRS points. Subsequent draws have dropped since March 2015 to the mid- to high-400's CRS point range, with the lowest dips occurring at two draws at 453 CRS points on March 27, 2015, and on April 17, 2015. The minimum CRS score required to receive an ITA may continue to drop slightly for the remainder of the year, although it is unlikely to drop drastically.

Provincial Nominee Programs. Over the past months, the Canadian provinces have been implementing their own unique Express Entry Provincial Nominee Programs (PNPs), which are province-based selection programs that can accord a candidate 600 bonus points in Express Entry. Provinces have taken awhile to implement PNPs because of the need to move to electronic PNP systems that are compatible with Express Entry. British Columbia was the first province to implement a PNP for Express Entry and issue Provincial Nominee Certificates to applicants. Recently, Ontario has released its own PNP program, which encompasses both a Human Capital Stream and a French-Speaking Skilled Worker Stream. Other Canadian provinces with Express Entry PNPs include Saskatchewan, Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and Prince Edward Island.

Documentary requirements. CIC has issued the majority of ITAs for permanent residence based on governmental discretion pursuant to the Federal Skilled Worker Program, as opposed to the Canadian Experience Class Program, even for those candidates working in Canada. Because the Federal Skilled Worker Program has additional documentary requirements, it is important to start gathering documents that *may* be needed even before an ITA is received and often before the Express Entry profile itself is created. Documents that often need to be uploaded in the online permanent residence application after receiving an ITA can include employment letters and pay slips for present and past employment, official language exam results (English and French), copies of police certificates, immigration medical examination receipts, copies of civil identity documents, and proof of settlement funds except for those working in Canada with certain work permits.

[Back to Top](#)